GORDON FEINBLATTILC

ATTORNEYS AT LAW

JONATHAN MONTGOMERY 410.576.4088 FAX 410.576.4032 jmontgomery@gfrlaw.com 233 EAST REDWOOD STREET BALTIMORE, MARYLAND 21202-3332 410.576.4000 www.gfrlaw.com

October 17, 2016

VIA HAND DELIVERY

Ms. Ruby Potter Maryland Health Care Commission 4160 Patterson Avenue Baltimore, Maryland 21215

Re:

Anne Arundel Medical Center

Docket No. 15-02-2360

Dear Commissioner Tanio:

Enclosed please find Anne Arundel Medical Center's Response to the October 5, 2016 memorandum of Commissioner Tanio.

Sincerely,

Jonathan Montgomery

Enclosures

cc: M. Natalie McSherry, Esquire (via email)

Christopher C. Jeffries, Esquire (via email)

Louis P. Malick, Esquire (via email)

John T. Brennan, Esquire (via email)

Joel I. Suldan, Esquire (via email)

Jinlene Chan, M.D., MPH (via email)

Steve R. Schuh, Executive, Anne Arundel County (via email)

Mr. Paul Parker (via email)

Mr. Kevin McDonald (via email)

Suellen Wideman, AAG (via email)

AAMC Internal Distribution (via email)

IN THE MA	TTER (OF			*	
ANNE ARU	NDEL	MEDI	CAL CI	ENTER	*	
Docket No. 1	5-02-2	360			*	
* *	*	*	*	*	*	BEFORE THE
IN THE MA	TTER	OF UN	IVERS	ITY	*	MARYLAND HEALTH CARE
OF MARYLAND BALTIMORE		*	COMMISSION			
WASHINGT	ON M	EDICA	L CEN	TER	*	
Docket No. 1	5-02-2	361			*	

ANNE ARUNDEL MEDICAL CENTER REVISED TABLES & RESPONSE TO BALTIMORE WASHINGTON MEDICAL CENTER OBJECTION

Anne Arundel Medical Center, Inc. ("AAMC"), by its undersigned counsel, hereby responds to the October 5, 2016 request of Commissioner Tanio (the "Tanio Memo") in regard to the input by the Health Services Cost Review Commission ("HSCRC"), namely, the HSCRC's August 24 memorandum to Commissioner Tanio (the "HSCRC Memo") in this Baltimore Upper Shore Cardiac Surgery Review (the "Review"). AAMC also hereby responds to the October 11, 2016 memorandum of Baltimore Washington Medical Center "(BWMC") objecting to the Tanio Memo.

I. <u>Statements Regarding Revenue Requests</u>

Enclosed please find statements pursuant to Questions 1 and 2 of the Tanio Memo. These statements are offered by Daniel B. Smith, Chief Financial Officer of Johns Hopkins Hospital, and Robert Reilly, Chief Financial Officer of Anne Arundel Medical Center. Both statements use the exact wording requested in the Tanio Memo.

II. The Revised Tables

Enclosed please find revised versions of AAMC financial schedules, namely revised Table G through Table K of AAMC's application (the "Revised Tables"), as requested by Commissioner Tanio.

Context helps in understanding the Revised Tables. AAMC, like other Maryland hospitals, operates under a global budget revenue system whereby the HSCRC sets the amount of revenue the hospital is allowed to earn annually, i.e. the aggregate revenue generated by each of AAMC's service lines. The HSCRC may adjust this budget in connection with particular service lines – for example, the HSCRC's market shift adjustment policy would permit AAMC's global budget revenue to increase by "50% of the cardiac surgery revenue" AAMC would generate. The HSCRC may also adjust this budget on a global (non-service line) basis, for example through "the population adjustment, capacity from reduced avoidable utilization" and the HSCRC's annual update to each hospital's budget to reflect inflation and the like. In that regard, the HSCRC Memo indicates that the HSCRC would also allow "reallocation of overhead already funded" by AAMC's budget to AAMC's proposed cardiac surgery program "to cover the difference between marginal cost and fully allocated that includes existing overhead".

AAMC's original financial projections for the cardiac surgery program combined both of the these revenue sources without distinguishing one from another, namely (1) revenue generated directly by the proposed cardiac surgery program, and (2) revenue allocated to the cardiac surgery program through use of "resources provided in the system" such as the demographic

¹ HSCRC Memo at p. 1.

² HSCRC Memo at p. 1.

³ HSCRC Memo at p. 1

⁴ HSCRC Memo at p. 2.

adjustment, as noted in the HSCRC Memo. In fact, in its July 27, 2015 comment on BWMC's application, AAMC acknowledged "the new 50% variable cost factor for market shift adjustments", but noted that the HSCRC would permit AAMC to allocate to the program revenue through the other resources provided in the system for new projects, such as "the annual update process for individual hospital budgets." AAMC acknowledges that its original financial presentation did not clearly distinguish between these two sources of program revenue, but the Revised Tables do just that.

Therefore, the Revised Tables now clearly distinguish between revenue allocated to the project pursuant to (1) the HSCRC's market shift policy, and (2) allocation of general budget increases received by AAMC. In other words, the Revised Tables do not add or subtract any revenue. The Revised Tables simply split out the previously described revenue into these two categories, as described in the HSCRC Memo and as requested by Commissioner Tanio. The Revised Tables now also reflect that this allocation of these general budget increases would not increase AAMC's entire facility revenue.

Just as the tables included in AAMC's original application, the Revised Tables continue to demonstrate that AAMC can build a financially feasible cardiac surgery program, a program which will deliver substantial savings to cardiac surgery patients and the health care system as a whole.

III. BWMC Objections

Commissioner Tanio should reject the objections to the Revised Tables presented in BWMC's memo for the following reasons.

⁵ AAMC July 27, 2015 Comment on BWMC Application at p.15, n. 42.

⁶ AAMC July 27, 2015 Comment on BWMC Application at p.15, n. 42.

First, the Revised Tables do not present material changes to the financial projections presented in AAMC's original application. The "bottom line" numbers of the cardiac surgery program have not changed. Rather, the Revised Tables simply clarify the portion of AAMC's projected revenue derived from the market shift policy as opposed to other allocations of revenue to the program permitted by the HSCRC. Moreover, early in this process, AAMC acknowledged "the new 50% variable cost factor for market shift adjustments" for cardiac program revenue while at the same time noting that the HSCRC has the flexibility to provide targeted funding to AAMC's cardiac surgery program through the general update process for individual hospital budgets. This is the same two-track revenue allocation process described in the HSCRC Memo. Therefore, the Revised Tables are not an "improper modification" of AAMC's application. Nor has AAMC "failed to document financial feasibility" as claimed by BWMC. Rather, the HSCRC has concluded that AAMC's cardiac surgery program would be financially feasible given the sources of revenue available for AAMC to allocate to the project, and the Revised Tables now reflect the financial projection methodology articulated in the HSCRC Memo.

Second, even if the Revised Tables did constitute a modification to AAMC's application (which they do not), such modifications are entirely permissible pursuant to a project status conference, which may be held at any time to identify "aspects of a proposed project that appear to be inconsistent with applicable standards and review criteria" and request "additional filings" in response 10, as acknowledged by BWMC. 11

⁷ AAMC July 27, 2015 Comment on BWMC Application at p.15, n. 42.

⁸ AAMC August 25, 2015 Response to Interested Party Comments

⁹ HSCRC Memo at pp. 2-3.

¹⁰ COMAR 10.24.01.09(A)(2); see also COMAR 10.24.01.08(E)(2).

¹¹ BWMC memorandum at p. 2 (citing COMAR 10.24.01.08(E)(2)).

In that regard, the certificate of need regulations do not specify the form in which a project status conference may be held. Arguably, the Tanio Memo constitutes a project status conference. This Review has been conducted by written filings exclusively to this point, without resort to hearings or oral argument. AAMC perceives no reason why this pattern should not continue or how an oral presentation of the Tanio Memo would help this Review. If Commissioner Tanio chooses to deem the Tanio Memo a project status conference, AAMC would not object to BWMC having the requisite seven days to respond. Otherwise, AAMC would be happy to submit the Revised Tables pursuant to a live project status conference if that is preferred.

BWMC's argument that a project status conference would be futile is absurd. The project status conference process exists precisely to give the Commission the benefit of each applicant's best case for obtaining a certificate of need. Here, the proposed creation of a new cardiac surgery program in the State of Maryland implicates important issues of public health and health care delivery, especially for the people of Anne Arundel County in need of these services. Although the Commission must certainly observe the procedural rules of the certificate of need process, this Review should be decided on substance, not BWMC's "gotcha" argument.

Moreover, the entire point of the project status conference process is to give applicants a chance to respond to concerns raised by the **reviewer or staff** about a project's consistency with review standards, not concerns raised in "written comments" by other applicants (contra BWMC). Further, as discussed above, the Revised Tables confirm that AAMC's proposed

12 BWMC memorandum at p. 2.

¹³ For example, in the Prince George's Regional Medical Center review, interested parties commented that the proposed replacement hospital project was too large, too costly, and too indifferent to the need for investment in Prince George's County's ambulatory care system. However, it was not until Commissioner Moffitt held a project review conference in May 2016 that the applicants modified the proposed project to address these concerns.

cardiac surgery program would be financially feasible.¹⁴ This nullifies the key premise of BWMC's futility argument, namely that no revised financial projection of AAMC could demonstrate feasibility under the HSCRC's market shift policy.

IV. Conclusion

For all the foregoing reasons, AAMC is pleased to provide its and Johns Hopkins' commitments (enclosed) and the Revised Tables (enclosed), and requests that the Commission accept these submissions while rejecting BWMC's objections thereto.

Respectfully submitted,

Jonathan E. Montgomery

Gordon Feinblatt LLC 233 East Redwood Street

Baltimore, Maryland 21202

Tel: (410) 576-4088

Fax: (410) 576-4032

Attorneys for Anne Arundel Medical Center

Date: October 17, 2016

¹⁴ Indeed, whether or how much either applicant's global budget increases in connection with a proposed new hospital service line does not determine the financial feasibility of that service line, only the revenue of the hospital as a whole. That is, the GBR system does not prevent the new service line from earning revenue and thus being viable as a service line. Rather, the GBR system requires the hospital decrease its charges for all service lines to remain within the global budget cap while absorbing the additional revenue associated with the new service line.

Revised Tables

TABLE G. REVENUES & EXPENSES, UNINFLATED - ENTIRE FACILITY

INSTRUCTION: Complete this table for the entire facility, including the proposed project. Table G should reflect current dollars (no inflation). Projected revenues and expenses should be consistent with the projections in Table F and with the costs of Manpower listed in Table L. Manpower. Indicate on the table if the reporting period is Calendar Year (CY) or Fiscal Year (FY). In an attachment to the application, provide an explanation or basis for the projections and specify all assumptions used. Applicants must explain why the assumptions are reasonable. Specify the sources of non-operating income. See additional instruction in the column to the right of the table.

	Two Most Recent Years (Actual)	t Years (Actual)	Current Year Projected	Projected Years (endocument that the	ding at least two years hospital will generate	Projected Years (ending at least two years after project completion and full occupancy) Add columns if needed in order to document that the hospital will generate excess revenues over total expenses consistent with the Financial Feasibility standard.	and full occup	ancy) Add col	lumns if needed the Financial I	d in order to Feasibility
Indicate CY or FY	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019				
1. REVENUE										
a Inpatient Services	294,098,900	292,960,600			\$ 303,973,116	\$				
b. Outpatient Services	\$ 239,409,200	\$ 253,443,600	\$ 254,587,463	\$ 253,953,060	\$ 253,956,509	\$ 253,960,054				
Gross Patient Service Revenues	\$ 533,508,100	\$ 546,404,200	\$ 552,241,503	\$ 556,135,002	\$ 557,929,625	\$ 558,845,331	50		\$	8 .
c. Allowance For Bad Debt	\$ 19,750,800	\$ 22,623,500	\$ 26,145,184	\$ 26,303,664	\$ 26,366,353	\$ 26,398,282				
d. Contractual Allowance	-	-	\$ 55,603,875	\$ 56,115,030	\$ 56,317,572	\$				
e. Charity Care	\$ 8,912,500	\$ 5,721,800	\$ 2,774,084	\$ 2,796,724	49	\$ 2,810,240				
Net Patient Services Revenue	\$ 451,478,400	\$ 458,034,700	\$ 467,718,360	\$ 470,919,584	\$ 472,440,020	\$ 473,215,880	8	8	*	55
f. Other Operating Revenues	\$ 26,036,200	\$ 25,995,000	\$ 30,197,196	\$ 30,157,196	\$ 30,157,196	\$ 30,157,196				
NET OPERATING REVENUE	\$ 477,514,600	\$ 484,029,700	\$ 497,915,556	\$ 501,076,780	\$ 502,597,216	\$ 503,373,076	•	•	5	•
2. EXPENSES										
a. Salaries & Wages (including benefits)	\$ 222,592,080	\$ 221,047,100	\$ 228,259,601	\$ 235,991,612	\$ 237,393,158	\$ 239,600,264				
b. Contractual Services	\$ 2,851,345	\$ 716,000	\$ 245,942	\$ 248,167	\$ 248,664	\$ 249,623				
c. Interest on Current Debt	\$ 15,972,794		\$ 14,096,925	\$ 13,555,176	\$ 13,301,038	\$ 13,041,376				
e. Current Depreciation	\$27,952,182	\$29,211,500	\$29,396,532	\$ 29,452,079	\$ 28,642,928	\$ 28,502,319				
f. Project Depreciation					w	49				
g. Current Amortization h. Project Amortization	\$ 418,365	\$ 392,500	\$ 390,407	\$ 307,008	\$ 307,008	\$ 307,008				
i. Supplies	\$ 115,094,050	\$ 117,119,100	\$ 115,931,587	\$ 107,621,203	\$ 105,810,629	\$ 102,989,400				
 j. Other Expenses (Specify/add rows if needed) 	\$ 91,519,202	\$ 88,249,400	\$ 89,396,313	\$ 84,703,874	\$ 82,984,745	\$ 80,555,423				
TOTAL OPERATING EXPENSES	\$ 476,400,018	\$ 471,917,600	\$ 477,717,307	\$ 472,194,438	\$ 469,003,487	\$ 465,560,733	•	\$	•	
3. INCOME										
a. Income From Operation	\$ 1,114,582	\$ 12,112,100	\$ 20,198,249	\$ 28,882,341	\$ 33,593,728	\$ 37,812,343	•			•
b. Non-Operating Income	\$ 44,226,600	\$ 27,091,100	\$ (31,684,793)	\$ 16,919,694	\$ 20,690,944	\$ 24,933,376				
SUBTOTAL	\$ 45,341,182	\$ 39,203,200	\$ (11,486,543)	\$ 45,802,036	\$ 54,284,672	\$ 62,745,719	•			
c. Income Taxes										
NET INCOME (LOSS)	\$ 45,341,182	\$ 39,203,200	\$ (11,486,543)	\$ 45,802,036	\$ 54,284,672	\$ 62,745,719	•	69	69	\$

TABLE G. REVENUES & EXPENSES, UNINFLATED - ENTIRE FACILITY

INSTRUCTION: Complete this table for the entire facility, including the proposed project. Table G should reflect current dollars (no inflation). Projected revenues and expenses should be consistent with the projections in Table F and with the costs of Manpower listed in Table L. Manpower. Indicate on the table if the reporting period is Calendar Year (CY) or Fiscal Year (FY). In an attachment to the application, provide an explanation or basis for the projections and specify all assumptions used. Applicants must explain why the assumptions are reasonable. Specify the sources of non-operating income. See additional instruction in the column to the right of the table.

0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	TOTAL
										4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4
				2.7%	2.7%	2.7%	2.7%	2.9%	7.5%	6) Other
				0.9%		0.9%	0.9%	1.3%	3.1%	5) Self-pay
				28.1%		28.1%	28.1%	27.0%	21.4%	Commercial Insurance
				17.9%	17.9%	17.9%	17.9%	19.3%	21.2%	3) Blue Cross
				10.8%		10.8%	10.8%	9.3%	6.6%	2) Medicaid
							39.6%	40.3%	40.2%	1) Medicare
									/8	 b. Percent of Equivalent Inpatient Days
0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	TOTAL
				2.7%		2.7%	2.7%	2.9%	7.5%	6) Other
				0.9%	0.9%	0.9%	0.9%	1.3%	3.1%	5) Self-pay
				28.1%			28.1%	27.0%	21.4%	4) Commercial Insurance
				17.9%		17.9%	17.9%	19.3%	21.2%	3) Blue Cross
				10.8%		10.8%	10.8%	9.3%	6.6%	2) Medicaid
				39.6%			39.6%	40.3%	40.2%	1) Medicare
										a. Percent of Total Revenue
										4. PATIENT MIX
				FY 2019	FY 2018	FY 2017	FY 2015	FY 2014	FY 2013	Indicate CY or FY
d in order to Feasibility	umns if needed the Financial I	pancy) Add cole consistent with	and full occup	Projected Years (ending at least two years after project completion and full occupancy) Add columns if needed in order to document that the hospital will generate excess revenues over total expenses consistent with the Financial Feasibility standard.	ling at least two years hospital will generate	Projected Years (end document that the	Current Year Projected	nt Years (Actual)	Two Most Recent Years (Actual)	

TABLE H. REVENUES & EXPENSES, INFLATED - ENTIRE FACILITY

INSTRUCTION: Complete this table for the entire facility, including the proposed project. Table H should reflect inflation. Projected revenues and expenses should be consistent with the projections in Table F. Indicate on the table if the reporting period is Calendar Year (CY) or Fiscal Year (FY). In an attachment to the application, provide an explanation or basis for the projections and specify all assumptions used. Applicants must explain why the assumptions are reasonable. See additional instruction in the column to the right of the table.

Control of the contro			0							
	Two Most Recent Years (Actual)	t Years (Actual)	Current Year Projected	in order to document that the h	ment that the hos	rojected Years (ending at least two years after project completion and full occupancy) Add columns if needed in order to document that the hospital will generate excess revenues over total expenses consistent with the Financial Feasibility standard.	rs after project completion an will generate excess revenues Financial Feasibility standard	nd full occupa s over total ex d.	penses consis	stent with the
Indicate CY or FY	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019				
1. REVENUE										
a. Inpatient Services	294,098,900	ш	П	П	\$ 328,648,2					
b. Outpatient Services	\$ 239,409,200	\$ 253,443,600	\$ 254,587,463	\$ 266,809,830	-	69				
Gross Patient Service Revenues	\$ 533,508,100	\$ 546,404,200	\$ 552,241,503	\$ 585,151,708	\$ 602,132,819	\$ 618,609,674	5			\$ -
c. Allowance For Bad Debt	\$ 19,750,800	\$ 22,623,500	\$ 26,145,184	\$ 27,635,155	\$ 28,397,122	\$ 29,146,625				
d. Contractual Allowance		\$ 60,024,200	\$ 55,603,875		\$ 58,792,706	ь				
e. Charity Care	\$ 8,912,500	\$ 5,721,800		\$ 2,938,290		S				
Net Patient Services Revenue	\$ 451,478,400	\$ 458,034,700	\$ 467,718,360	\$ 496,850,944	\$ 511,921,089	\$ 526,575,234	5	5	65	59
f. Other Operating Revenues (Specify/add rows if needed)	\$ 26,036,200	\$ 25,995,000	\$ 30,197,196	\$ 31,203,328	\$ 31,711,634	\$ 32,230,107				
NET OPERATING REVENUE	\$ 477,514,600	\$ 484,029,700	\$ 497,915,556	\$ 528,054,271	\$ 543,632,723	\$ 558,805,340	59	6	69	5
2. EXPENSES										
a. Salaries & Wages (including benefits)	\$ 222,592,080	\$ 221,047,100	\$ 228,259,601	\$ 248,737,129	\$ 256,786,669	\$ 265,897,175				
b. Contractual Services	\$ 2,851,345	\$ 716,000	245,942	253,155	256,198	259,759				
c. Interest on Current Debt	\$ 15,972,794	\$ 15,182,000	14,096,925	13,555,176	13,301,038	13,041,376				
d. Interest on Project Debt						1				
e. Current Depreciation f. Project Depreciation	\$ 27,952,182	\$ 29,211,500	29,396,532	315,319	315,319	28				
g. Current Amortization	\$ 418,365	\$ 392,500	390,407	307,008	307,008	307,008				
h. Project Amortization		-								
i. Supplies	\$ 115,094,050	\$ 117,119,100	115,931,587	118,510,331	122,853,218	126,853,721				
 j. Other Expenses (Specify/add rows if needed) 	\$ 91,519,202	\$ 88,249,400	89,396,313	92,087,575	94,325,880	96,044,317				
TOTAL OPERATING EXPENSES	\$ 476,400,018	\$ 471,917,600	\$ 477,717,307	\$ 503,217,771	\$ 516,788,258	\$ 531,220,993	• •		•	5
3. INCOME										
a. Income From Operation	\$ 1,114,582	\$ 12,112,100	\$ 20,198,249	\$ 24,836,500	\$ 26,844,465	\$ 27,584,347			•	\$
b. Non-Operating Income	\$ 44,226,600	\$ 27,091,100	\$ (31,684,793)	\$ 16,716,597	\$ 20,162,033	\$ 23,870,184				
SUBTOTAL	\$ 45,341,182	\$ 39,203,200	\$ (11,486,543)	\$ 41,553,097	\$ 47,006,498	\$ 51,454,531	\$ - \$		5	5
c. Income Taxes										
NET INCOME (LOSS)	\$ 45,341,182	\$ 39,203,200	\$ (11,486,543)	\$ 41,553,097	\$ 47,006,498	\$ 51,454,531	5		5	\$

TABLE H. REVENUES & EXPENSES, INFLATED - ENTIRE FACILITY

INSTRUCTION: Complete this table for the entire facility, including the proposed project. Table H should reflect inflation. Projected revenues and expenses should be consistent with the projections in Table F. Indicate on the table if the reporting period is Calendar Year (CY) or Fiscal Year (FY). In an attachment to the application, provide an explanation or basis for the projections and specify all assumptions used. Applicants must explain why the assumptions are reasonable. See additional instruction in the column to the right of the table.

0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	TOTAL
				2.7%	2.7%	2.7%	2.7%	2.9%	7.5%	6) Other
						0.9%	0.9%	1.3%	3.1%	5) Self-pay
						28.1%	28.1%	27.0%	21.4%	Commercial Insurance
					17.9%	17.9%	17.9%	19.3%	21.2%	3) Blue Cross
						10.8%	10.8%	9.3%	6.6%	2) Medicaid
						39.6%	39.6%	40.3%	40.2%	1) Medicare
										Total MSGA
										b. Percent of Equivalent Inpatient Days
0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	TOTAL
				2.7%	2.7%	2.7%	2.7%	2.9%	7.5%	6) Other
						0.9%	0.9%		3.1%	5) Self-pay
					28.1%	28.1%	28.1%		21.4%	Commercial Insurance
						17.9%	17.9%		21.2%	3) Blue Cross
					10.8%	10.8%	10.8%		6.6%	2) Medicaid
						39.6%	39.6%		40.2%	1) Medicare
										a. Percent of Total Revenue
										4. PATIENT MIX
				FY 2019	FY 2018	FY 2017	FY 2015	FY 2014	FY 2013	Indicate CY or FY
umns if needed stent with the	ncy) Add colu penses consi	and full occupa es over total ex rd.	rs after project completion and will generate excess revenues Financial Feasibility standard.	o years after proje bital will generate Financial Fe	Projected Years (ending at least two years after project completion and full occupancy) Add columns if needed in order to document that the hospital will generate excess revenues over total expenses consistent with the Financial Feasibility standard.	rojected Years (t Years (Actual)	Two Most Recent Years (Actual)	Two Most Recent Years (Actual) Current Year Projected

TABLE J. REVENUES & EXPENSES, UNINFLATED - NEW FACILITY OR SERVICE

Specify the sources of non-operating income. current dollars (no inflation). Projected revenues and expenses should be consistent with the projections in Table I and with the costs of Manpower INSTRUCTION: After consulting with Commission Staff, complete this table for the new facility or service (the proposed project). Table J should reflect provide an explanation or basis for the projections and specify all assumptions used. Applicants must explain why the assumptions are reasonable. listed in Table L. Manpower. Indicate on the table if the reporting period is Calendar Year (CY) or Fiscal Year (FY). In an attachment to the application,

TABLE J. REVENUES & EXPENSES, UNINFLATED - NEW FACILITY OR SERVICE

Specify the sources of non-operating income. provide an explanation or basis for the projections and specify all assumptions used. Applicants must explain why the assumptions are reasonable. current dollars (no inflation). Projected revenues and expenses should be consistent with the projections in Table I and with the costs of Manpower listed in Table L. Manpower. Indicate on the table if the reporting period is Calendar Year (CY) or Fiscal Year (FY). In an attachment to the application, INSTRUCTION: After consulting with Commission Staff, complete this table for the new facility or service (the proposed project). Table J should reflect

	Projected Years (ending at least two years after project cor	(ending at lea	st two years a	fter project con	mpletion and full occupancy) Add years, if	ıll occupancy)	Add years, if
	needed in ord	er to documen	t that the hosp	ital will genera	needed in order to document that the hospital will generate excess revenues over total expenses	nues over tota	al expenses
		cons	istent with the	Financial Fea	consistent with the Financial Feasibility standard.	d.	
Indicate CY or FY	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019				
c. Income Taxes							
NET INCOME (LOSS)	\$ (1,504,221) \$		15,755 \$ 871,330 \$	\$ -	\$ -	\$	59

TABLE J. REVENUES & EXPENSES, UNINFLATED - NEW FACILITY OR SERVICE

current dollars (no inflation). Projected revenues and expenses should be consistent with the projections in Table I and with the costs of Manpower listed in Table L. Manpower. Indicate on the table if the reporting period is Calendar Year (CY) or Fiscal Year (FY). In an attachment to the application, Specify the sources of non-operating income. INSTRUCTION: After consulting with Commission Staff, complete this table for the new facility or service (the proposed project). Table J should reflect provide an explanation or basis for the projections and specify all assumptions used. Applicants must explain why the assumptions are reasonable.

0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	TOTAL
				0.6%	0.6%	0.6%	6) Other
					2.9%	2.9%	5) Self-pay
				27.4%	28.4%	30.0%	Commercial Insurance
				9.0%	9.0%	9.0%	3) Blue Cross
				7.3%	7.3%	7.3%	2) Medicaid
				52.9%	51.9%	50.2%	1) Medicare
							Total MSGA
						8	b. Percent of Equivalent Inpatient Days
0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	TOTAL
				0.6%	0.6%	0.6%	6) Other
				2.5%	2.5%	2.5%	5) Self-pay
				27.9%	28.9%	30.6%	Commercial Insurance
					9.3%	9.3%	3) Blue Cross
					6.8%	6.8%	2) Medicaid
					51.9%	50.2%	1) Medicare
							a. Percent of Total Revenue
							4. PATIENT MIX
				FY 2019	FY 2018	FY 2017	Indicate CY or FY
Add years, if l expenses	ull occupancy) enues over tota d.	rojected Years (ending at least two years after project completion and full occupancy) Add years, needed in order to document that the hospital will generate excess revenues over total expenses consistent with the Financial Feasibility standard.	ofter project con pital will genera Financial Fea	ast two years a it that the hosi sistent with the	er to documen	Projected Years (ending at least two years after project completion and full occupancy) Add years, if needed in order to document that the hospital will generate excess revenues over total expenses consistent with the Financial Feasibility standard.	

Note 1: Per the HSCRC, revenue can be reallocated from other revenue sources (HSCRC Memorandum of 8/24/16 to MHCC)

TABLE K. REVENUES & EXPENSES, INFLATED - NEW FACILITY OR SERVICE

assumptions used. Applicants must explain why the assumptions are reasonable. Calendar Year (CY) or Fiscal Year (FY). In an attachment to the application, provide an explanation or basis for the projections and specify all INSTRUCTION: After consulting with Commission Staff, complete this table for the new facility or service (the proposed project). Table K should reflect inflation. Projected revenues and expenses should be consistent with the projections in Table I. Indicate on the table if the reporting period is

assumptions used. Applicants must explain why the assumptions are reasonable	n wny the assu	mptions are rea	sonable.				
	Projected Yea	ars (ending at I	east two years	after project co		full occupancy) Add years, if
	needed in o	rder to docum	nsistent with the	consistent with the Financial Feasibility sta		ndard.	al expenses
Indicate CY or FY	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019				
1. REVENUE							
a. Inpatient Services	\$ 4,087,868	\$ 6,114,553	\$ 7,263,789				
b. Reallocated revenues - See Note 1	\$ 2,861,508	\$ 4,280,187	\$ 5,084,652				
Gross Patient Service Revenues	\$ 6,949,375	\$ 10,394,740	\$12,348,441	59	59	\$	\$
c. Allowance For Bad Debt	\$ 282,863	\$ 404,173	\$ 473,260				
d. Contractual Allowance	\$ 913,241	\$ 1,304,902	\$ 1,527,952				
e. Charity Care	\$ 40,409		\$ 67,608				
Net Patient Services Revenue	\$ 5,712,862	\$ 8,627,925	\$ 10,279,621	59	49	\$	69
f. Other Operating Revenues (Specify/add rows of needed)							
NET OPERATING REVENUE	\$ 5,712,862	\$ 8,627,925	\$ 10,279,621	49	6 5	\$	65
2. EXPENSES							
a. Salaries & Wages (including benefits)	\$ 3,163,994	\$ 3,601,628	\$ 3,868,962				
b. Contractual Services							
c. Interest on Current Debt							
e. Current Depreciation							
	\$ 315,319	\$ 315,319	\$ 315,319				
h. Project Amortization							
i. Supplies	\$ 1,228,148	\$ 2,095,246	\$ 2,585,649				
j. Other Expenses (Specify/add rows of needed)	\$ 2,442,273	\$ 2,372,968	\$ 2,251,816				
TOTAL OPERATING EXPENSES	\$ 7,149,734	\$ 8,385,161	\$ 9,021,745	•	€ 9	•	49
3. INCOME							
a. Income From Operation	\$ (1,436,872)	\$ 242,764	\$ 1,257,876		\$	&	€
b. Non-Operating Income							
SUBTOTAL	\$ (1,436,872)	\$ 242,764	\$ 1,257,876	59	÷	•	\$
c. Income Taxes							

TABLE K. REVENUES & EXPENSES, INFLATED - NEW FACILITY OR SERVICE

Calendar Year (CY) or Fiscal Year (FY). In an attachment to the application, provide an explanation or basis for the projections and specify all assumptions used. Applicants must explain why the assumptions are reasonable. INSTRUCTION: After consulting with Commission Staff, complete this table for the new facility or service (the proposed project). Table K should reflect inflation. Projected revenues and expenses should be consistent with the projections in Table I. Indicate on the table if the reporting period is

	Projected Years (ending at least two years after project completion as	rs (ending at le	ast two years	after project co	ompletion and	ull occupancy) Add years, i) Add years, if
	needed in or	der to docume	nt that the hos	needed in order to document that the hospital will generate excess		revenues over total expenses	al expenses
		COI	sistent with th	consistent with the Financial Feasibility star	asibility standa	rd.	
Indicate CY or FY	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019				
NET INCOME (LOSS)	\$ (1,436,872) \$ 242,764 \$ 1,257,876	\$ 242,764	\$ 1,257,876		- \$. \$	\$
					The second secon	District Children Williams	1000000000000000000000000000000000000

TABLE K. REVENUES & EXPENSES, INFLATED - NEW FACILITY OR SERVICE

assumptions used. Applicants must explain why the assumptions are reasonable. INSTRUCTION: After consulting with Commission Staff, complete this table for the new facility or service (the proposed project). Table K should reflect inflation. Projected revenues and expenses should be consistent with the projections in Table I. Indicate on the table if the reporting period is Calendar Year (CY) or Fiscal Year (FY). In an attachment to the application, provide an explanation or basis for the projections and specify all

The state of the s	The same of the same	The same					
	Projected Yea	rs (ending at l	east two years	Projected Years (ending at least two years after project completion and full occupancy) Add years, if	mpletion and f	ull occupancy)	Add years, if
	needed in or	der to docume	nsistent with the	needed in order to document that the hospital will generate excess revenues over total expenses consistent with the Financial Feasibility standard.	ate excess reversibility standa	d.	al expenses
Indicate CY or FY	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019				
4. PATIENT MIX							
a. Percent of Total Revenue							
1) Medicare	50.2%	51.9%	52.9%				
2) Medicaid	6.8%	6.8%					
3) Blue Cross	9.3%	9.3%					
 Commercial Insurance 	30.6%	28.9%	27.9%				
5) Self-pay	2.5%	2.5%	2.5%				
6) Other	0.6%	0.6%	0.6%				
TOTAL	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
b. Percent of Equivalent Inpatient Days							
1) Medicare	50.2%	51.9%	52.9%				
2) Medicaid	7.3%	7.3%					
3) Blue Cross	9.0%	9.0%					
4) Commercial Insurance	30.0%	28.4%					
5) Self-pay	2.9%	2.9%	2.9%				
6) Other	0.6%	0.6%	0.6%				
TOTAL	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%

Note 1: Per the HSCRC, revenue can be reallocated from other revenue sources (HSCRC Memorandum of 8/24/16 to MHCC)

Johns Hopkins Hospital

Statement

Daniel B. Smith

Senior Vice President of Finance
Johns Hopkins Health System
3910 Keswick Road
South Building / 4th Floor
Baltimore, MD 21211
443-997-1312
FAX 443-997-1315
E-mail: dsmithe@ihmi.edu

Chief Financial Officer Johns Hopkins Hospital 601 N. Broadway Administration Building 101 Baltimore, MD 21205 410-955-9215 FAX 410-614-9727



October 14, 2016

Craig P. Tanio, M.D.
Chair, Maryland Health Care Commission
Reviewer, Baltimore Upper Shore Cardiac Surgery Review
4160 Patterson Avenue
Baltimore, MD 21215

Re: Baltimore Upper Shore Cardiac Surgery Review

Dear Dr. Tanio:

This letter is in response to correspondence to the applicants in the above-referenced matter, dated October 5, 2016. Question 2 is specifically addressed to The Johns Hopkins Hospital ("JHH") as the "collaborating hospital" in the Anne Arundel Medical Center application.

In response to Question 2, JHH commits that, if the Anne Arundel Medical Center is issued a CON to establish a new cardiac surgery program, JHH will not approach the HSCRC in the future to request an increase in global budgeted revenue that has as any part of its basis, the lost revenue generated by cardiac surgery services that have shifted to Anne Arundel Medical Center, our partner applicant hospital.

Please let us know if there is any additional information we can provide that would be helpful to your review.

Sincerely,

Daniel B. Smith

Anne Arundel Medical Center

Statement



2001 Medical Parkway Annapolis, Md. 21401 443-481-1000 TDD: 443-481-1235 askAAMC.org

October 17, 2016

VIA EMAIL & FEDERAL EXPRESS

Craig Tanio, M.D. Chair/Reviewer Maryland Health Care Commission 4160 Patterson Avenue Baltimore, Maryland 21215

Dear Commissioner Tanio,

AAMC commits that, if AAMC is issued a CON to establish a new cardiac surgery program, it will not approach the HSCRC in the future to request an increase in global budgeted revenue that has, as any part of its basis, the objective of obtaining additional revenue from the provision of cardiac surgery services.

That is, per the HSCRC's memo, AAMC will not "seek a rate increase in a separate action" outside this certificate of need process, nor will it "approach the HSCRC to request an increase in [its] allowed GBR revenue if the GBR methodology does not provide sufficient revenue."

AAMC understands that this commitment does not prevent it from (per the HSCRC's Memo): (a) receiving global budget revenue increases for cardiac surgery "consistent with the HSCRC market shift policy" yielding an effective 50% variable cost factor for incremental cardiac surgery volume for both volume shifts among Maryland hospitals as well as in-migration of Maryland residents previously treated in the District of Columbia; (b) allocating to the cardiac surgery program "increases in revenue under the new payment model using the resources that are provided in the system"; or (c) similarly allocating revenue to the cardiac surgery program in connection with future revisions to the HSCRC's GBR policy or rate methodologies.

Bob Reilly

Sincerely

Chief Financial Officer

Attestation

ANNE ARUNDEL MEDICAL CENTER

CARDIAC SURGERY PROGRAM CERTIFICATE OF NEED APPLICATION RESPONSE TO TANIO MEMORANDUM

Attestation by Robert Reilly

Affirmation: I solemnly affirm under the penalties of perjury that the contents of this response are true to the best of my knowledge, information and belief.

October 17, 2016
Date

Signature

CFO, Anne Arundel Medical Center
Position/Title